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Why should we worry?

• Incidence of many STD’s increasing
• Risk = difficult to determine
  • Increased when genital injury present
  • Increased with other genital lesions (other STD)
• Increasing antibiotic resistance eg. gonorrhoea
• Forensic evaluation for CSA challenging – many uncertainties
• Children different epidemiology from adults??
  • Seattle 1990 SA adults = 43% +ve STD <72 hours
  • Infrequent...rare... in children?
STD’s are unique infections because they may imply....

Case-based discussion relating to opinion formulation – gonorrhea, chlamydia, HSV, HPV and Hepatitis B in adolescence.
General points

• STD’s in pre-pubertal children are RARE
• Children with STD’s - often other features of CSA
• Genital STDs in pre-pubertal children are usually SYMPTOMATIC (Dx, pain, abnormal exam)
• Extra-genital sites (oropharynx and rectum) are usually ASYMPTOMATIC
• Presence of certain STDs beyond the incubation period of congenital/perinatal transmission = red flag
• **Mode of transmission** proves the contentious point.....
As forensic practitioners we need to ask ourselves……..

“Does the identification of a sexually transmitted organism in a pre-pubertal child imply that this child has experienced sexual contact?”

- STD
  - Congenital/perinatally acquired
  - Sexually acquired
  - Non-sexually acquired;
    - Hetero-inoculation
    - Auto-inoculation
Case 1

- 4 yr old girl presented to Sunshine ED - purulent vaginal Dx
- Weekend visit to grandparents and Mum
- Swabs taken, commenced amoxil, “reassured” (dad stated concern) and discharged
- No Hx STD’s in parents
- 24-48 hours later swabs – *neisseria gonorrhoea*
- Rang VFPMS – “what do we do?”
- What would you do now? Is this CSA? Level of concern?
VFPMS assessment 1

- Vaginal swab and slide – PCR and culture +ve NG, *mycoplasma hominis* and *ureaplasma*, negative for *CT*
- Urine NAAT – *NG* detected, *CT* not detected
- Anal swab and slide – negative
- Throat - ?
- Serology – Hep B non-immune, Hep C, HIV, syphilis negative
VFPMS assessment 2

- Dad - urine NAAT negative NG
- Brother – urine NAAT negative NG
- Mother – urine NAAT positive NG
- Treated IM cephalosporin
- (plus azithro/doxy as co-infection with chlamydia common and slows development of cephalosporin resistance)
- Hep B immunisation
- Referred ID F/U
- Notified to public health
VFPMS opinion

- GC in pre-pubertal children almost always sexually transmitted
- Vertical transmission excluded as cause
- Possibilities:
  - Mum infected by person A and then infected Chloe by close contact - Innocent
  - Mum infected by person A who also infected Chloe by sexual contact – Sexual Abuse
  - Chloe infected by person A who then infected Mum by close contact – Sexual Abuse
- Other STD’s increase chance of CSA as mode of transmission
Gonorrhoea

- Gonorrhoea outside the neonatal period is strongly suggestive of CSA ("virtually" 100% CDC guidelines 2014)
- Period of latency of congenital eye infection...
- **Always** notify as suspect CSA
- PID rare in pre-pubertal so no rush to Rx
- Pharyngeal and rectal infections common and often aSx so look for them
- Short incubation period – 2-3 days
Gonorrhoea - Diagnosis

• Accurate diagnosis essential
• Urine NAAT (2 samples) for genital infections
• Culture for extra-genital sites
• Gram stains alone not sufficient
• Screen all body sites
Chlamydia

- Commonest reported STD in USA (> under 24yrs)
- PID, ectopic pregnancy, infertility
- Chlamydia infection outside neonatal period strongly suggestive of CSA BUT perinatally transmitted infection of nasopharynx, urogenital tract and rectum can persist for > 1 year (2-3 yrs?) and ? period of latency for congenital eye infection

- So what do we do....?
Chlamydia – diagnosis

• NAAT’s on urine for genital infections
• More sensitive than culture for chlamydia
• Urine NAAT is Ix of choice for genital chlamydia in girls (take a 2nd if 1st +ve)
• Culture and PCR from swabs equally sensitive
• Should take a 2nd if first +ve? False positive rate
• Culture for extra-genital sites and boys (use correct swabs)
• Full screen if identified from one site
Urine NAAT

- Not validated in children, ? PPV
- One study – only girls positive for STD’s
- Only recommended for genital testing in girls
- Confirmatory testing
- Know limitations when using for CSA
Case 2

- 7 year old girl
- Disclosure to mother - 2 years of SA by father
- 1 year previously attended GP vesicular rash on inner thighs, several “flare-ups” since
- Swab demonstrated HSV-1
- Mum Hx cold sores, no Hx genital HSV, ? Dad
- Modes of transmission..? What is the probability of sexual transmission? How do we form an opinion?
HSV in childhood

- Rare (1 per million in under 11 years)
- Up to 20% of genital HSV is type 1, therefore type non-discriminatory
- Either type can cause either lesion
- Incubation period 2 days to 2 weeks, shedding period 3-4 days to 1 week
- Long latent periods, “dormancy” – can still transmit when aSx
- Should always raise the suspicion of CSA and promptIx
- Diagnosis by PCR from lesion (not serology)
Blistering ano-genital lesions

- VZV (chicken pox)
- Molluscum
- Staph aureus
- Bullous pemphigoid (rare)
- Aphthous ulcers (Lipschutz’s ulcer)
- Syphilis (chancre)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sexual transmission</th>
<th>Non-sexual transmission</th>
<th>Vertical transmission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genital-genital (usually 2 but can be 1)</td>
<td>Auto-inoculation (typically 1)</td>
<td>Sx develop within first 4 weeks of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genital–anal (usually 2 but can be 1)</td>
<td>Hetero-inoculation (innocent touch by caregiver with oral or hand lesions)</td>
<td>Mother with genital HSV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral-genital (usually 1 but can be 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vaginal delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral-anal (usually 1 but can be 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>LSCS following PROM &gt; 4-6 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital-genital (rare)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Modes of transmission HSV

- Which is it? CSA more likely when:
  - Older children > 5 years
  - + Genital lesions alone
  - + Type 2 HSV
- Type 1 in genital or anal area raises possible concern for CSA (Adams 2001)
- Type 2 in genital or anal area raises probable concern for CSA (Adams 2001)

But the lines are blurred....

- Seek advice
Case 3

- 3 year old girl
- Referred to VFPMS by GP with “bunches of grapes” around the anus
- Present for about a year
- Clinical appearance one of anal warts
- What else do we need to know?
- Is this CSA?
- Would you notify police/CP?
HPV

- Over 100 types
  - Genital warts 6 and 11 (also conjunctival, oral, nasal, laryngeal warts)
  - Cancer 16, 18 and also 31, 33, 35
- ASx infection common, most of us infected at some point, self-limiting in most
- 2 vaccines – quadrivalent (gardasil) – 6, 11, 16, 18 and bivalent (cervarix) – 16, 18
- Bx if atypical
- Rx ?decrease transmission
  - imiquimod, podofilox
  - Cryotherapy, surgical removal
Mode of transmission

- Congenital/perinatal
  - Periconceptually
  - Transplacentally
  - Via amniotic fluid
  - Direct exposure via birth canal
  - Ascending infection PROM

- Postnatal
  - Heteroinoculation
  - autoinoculation (nongenital HPV sources/fomite)

- Sexual abuse
Important points about HPV in children

- HPV DNA detected in 5-40% neonates of HPV +ve mothers *(Virology 2012, PLOS 2013, syst review 2005, Obs Gyn 1998)*
- Not all SVD’s (HPV detected in sperm, amniotic fluid, placenta, cord & maternal blood)
- HPV still detected in 15% at 2 years of age (most clear in infancy but may persist well beyond)
- Unclear how often infantile infection progresses to clinical disease – long incubation periods
How can we form an opinion on mode of transmission?

• Still a dilemma
• Hx, physical examination (Hx maternal HPV)
• Age (AAP 1999 – older than 2-2½ more suggestive?, role of reactivation latent infection in older children? >4yrs 3 times >8yrs 12 times more likely to be abused – *Paediatrics* 2005)
• PPV HPV+ children (all ages) is about 30% for CSA (*Paeds* 2005)
• Role of screening for other STD’s? (*Paeds* 2005 *abused kids* no evidence other STI’s)
HPV - take-home messages

- Increasing age, increasing attribution to CSA but VT/reactivation and HI still possible/probable
- Pre-school with +ve maternal history, no disclosure/other signs/RFx reasonable to attribute to vertical transmission
- Pre-adolescent with above still could be VT/reactivation/HI (possible/probable)
- Can’t use age alone
- All need CSA evaluation? Just after school age?
Case 4

• 15 year old girl from Residential Unit, high risk
• Forensic evaluation for penetrative SA? Ejaculation, no condom
• Alleged perpetrator older male, white Caucasian
• Attends with DHS worker, vaccination Hx unknown
• What will you do about her Hep B risk?
Hepatitis B

- Incubation period 6 weeks to 6 months
- Highly infectious, more stable than Hep C, HIV
- Children more likely to develop chronic infection (90% in babies, 30% <5yrs, 2-6% adults)
- HBig protects for 3-6 months, effective, 0.06mls/kg
- Vaccine OK if already immune
- If levels <10, repeat all 3 doses
- If giving HBig, should also vaccinate at separate site
A sensible approach ......

- Penetrative SA +/- ejaculation/condom (?)
  - Baseline serology in all cases THEN;
  - If known not to be vaccinated/immune or if assailant known Hep B +ve then VACCINE PLUS Ig (plus all further doses)
  - If status unknown VACCINE AND F/U re further doses (once serology known)
  - If fully vaccinated could either BOOSTER or await serology if compliant to F/U
CDC guidelines for evaluating adolescents for STD’s - overview

- Urine NAAT plus serology Hep B, Hep C, Syphilis, HIV at initial visit
- Prophylaxis (azithro plus cef/metra?)
- Emergency contraception
- Hep B vaccination +/- Ig
- HPV vaccine for 9-26 year olds
- Consider HIV PEP – risk of transmission during SA...?
- NB Vaccine follow-up (Hep B needs 1 and 4-6 months, HPV 1 and 6 months)
CDC guidelines for evaluating children for STD’s - overview

Which children need screening? (CDC guidelines 2014)

- Penetration or exam findings suggestive of recent/healed penetration injury to genitals, anus, oropharynx
- Abused by stranger
- Abused by perpetrator known to be infected or high risk (IV drugs, MSM, multiple sex partners)
- Sibling or household relative with STD
- High community rates of STD’s
- Signs or Sx of STD
- Already diagnosed with one STD
How should we screen?

- **NG** – boys - **culture** from pharynx, anus, urethra.
- **NG** – girls – **culture** from pharynx, anus, vagina plus **urine NAAT** for genital infection
- **CT** – **culture** from anus and vagina (no pharyngeal specimens as yield low and perinatally acquired infection may persist) plus **urine NAAT in girls**
- **TV** – culture (NAAT being developed)
- Hep B, Hep C, syphilis, HIV - blood samples
  - Remember to follow-up
Take home messages 1

- Infections transmitted by sexual contact unless evidence of perinatal or clearly, reasonably and independently documented but rare non-sexual transmission
  - Genital, rectal or pharyngeal Neisseria gonorrhea
  - Syphilis
  - Genital or rectal Chlamydia Trachomatis
  - Trichomonas vaginalis
- Strong/almost certain likelihood of sexual transmission
- Most identified following symptomatic presentation
Take home messages 2

• Period of latency of congenital eye infection with chlamydia and gonorrhoea may be considerably longer than previously thought
• Perinatally acquired chlamydia may persist for 2-3 years
• The absence of an identified source of infection does not exclude CSA
• The presence of an STD in pre-pubertal children outside the neonatal period should almost always prompt a CSA evaluation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection type</th>
<th>Evidence for CSA</th>
<th>Suggested action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gonorrhoea *</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syphilis *</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV **</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia *</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichomonas *</td>
<td>Highly suspicious</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anogenital warts *</td>
<td>Suspicious</td>
<td>Consider report ^#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genital HSV</td>
<td>Highly suspicious (type 2 especially)</td>
<td>Report ^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial vaginosis</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
<td>Medical follow-up</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* if not likely to be prenatally acquired and RARE non-sexual vertical transmission excluded

**if not likely to be acquired perinatally or through transfusion

^ unless there is a clear Hx of auto-inoculation

^# report if there is additional evidence to suspect abuse including Hx, physical exam or other STI’s
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