

# Kids' legal rights in medical care, your obligations and risk minimisation

18 June 2018







- Consent, confidentiality and the importance of good record keeping.
- Why do health professionals need to understand Child Protection legislation, criminal law, privacy and medical records law?



## Support structures

- VFPMS
- Policies and procedures
- Legal Services



## What is consent?

#### Consent –

 voluntary agreement by a patient/parent/guardian to proposed procedure/treatment

## What you need to look for –

- freely given (voluntary) by the right person
- informed specific for the procedure/event, and key points understood



## Kids rights - consent

Two extremely challenging aspects of consent in your context –

- You're dealing with children, who are often very distressed and in the company of distressed support people potentially from a complex family dynamic
- The stakes are high
- What kinds of things need to be understood in the forensic paediatric context?



## Kids rights - consent

- The person consenting must understand –
  - the nature and inherent risks, material risks, of the procedure/examination in question vs benefits
  - the alternatives not going ahead/ not going ahead now



## Kids rights - consent

- How do you know what they understand?
  - allow them time to ask questions
  - recognise that consent is not ticked off at a moment in time, be alert to particularly confronting issues/changing circumstances and reconfirm
  - good communication throughout your encounter with the patient is critical

### Consent for a child



#### Parent or guardian

- Unless no longer have 'parental responsibility'; see Court orders to accept that a parent's 'parental responsibility' has been limited
- One parent's consent is often sufficient
- Parent must act in the child's best interests

#### Child Protection

 DHHS (the Secretary) to consent to examinations and treatment for a child in certain circumstances



## Kids rights – consent - mature minors

#### Consent by a minor – forensic exam context

Gillick competent child

'A minor is ... capable of giving informed consent when he or she achieves a sufficient understanding and intelligence to enable him or her to understand fully what is proposed.'

Gillick v West Norfolk AHA [1986] AC 112

#### Rules of thumb

- under 12, always parent/guardian; 13 be aware of potentially increasing maturity
- over 14 consider assessment for Gillick competence
- if the context allows consent from child and parent –
  all the better



### Documenting consent - risk minimisation

- A signed consent form is not conclusive of consent
  - was there adequate discussion? Evidence this by documenting it with precision
  - did the person consenting understand the nature and consequences
- Please note you need to get consent for what you are going to do

Follow VFPMS policy and guidelines



## Kids' rights - Privacy & confidentiality

#### Ethical basis

 Respecting a patient's privacy and confidentiality is consistent with respect for their autonomy

#### Public policy reasons

 Trust in medical system; breach of privacy/confidentiality may discourage people to seek help

#### Starting point –

 maintain confidentiality and do not disclose patient information to anyone except with consent or by operation of law - when in doubt, chicken out!





#### Consent

 For child and mature minor, recommend same approach as consent to treatment – informed and specific

#### Operation of law

- Subpoenas; warrants
- Health Services Act, s141
- Health Privacy Principles
- Mandatory reporting will be addressed later in conference; new offences for protecting children



## Privacy and the police

- No general right in public health, even where relevant to an investigation or suspicion of unlawful behaviour
- Usually need consent or a warrant; or a subpoena to produce to court
- General exception where:
  - 'the organisation <u>reasonably believes</u> that the use or disclosure is <u>necessary</u> to lessen or prevent--
  - (i) a <u>serious <del>and imminent</del></u> threat to an individual's life, health, safety or welfare; or
  - (ii) a <u>serious</u> threat to public health, public safety or public welfare--'

Health Records Act, Health Privacy Principle 2.2(h) (141(3)(eb) Health Services Act 1988)

## Kids' rights – privacy & child protection 🎇

- Child protection officers may seek information
  - ask them to put their request in writing identifying power to obtain the information
  - need consent/legislative power eg section 212
    Children Youth and Families Act 2005 child
    needing therapeutic treatment (s185 investigation)
    - protects the child's rights; protects you
    - note often health professionals seek the support of child protection – these requests re power etc are not in any way intended to be obstructive

# Risk minimisation - documentation





# Risk minimisation - documentation

- VERY important both clinically and legally:
  - to ensure all information available for future analysis/care
  - to evidence what was done and why
  - particularly important if you need to
    - hand over care to another party
    - respond to queries from families/patients later in time
    - justify opinion in Court/Commission/regulatory authority



#### Risk minimisation - documentation

- Therefore, very important to record all *relevant* information consider how you do that
- Very important that documentation is professional and you will be comfortable explaining the language to the patient or a court or others



# Risk minimisation – preparing for disclosure

- Patient and/or parents can access records under Freedom of Information legislation
  - Exemptions limited
  - Patient health and safety specifically addressed
- Others courts under subpoena, police under warrant, regulators by order

