



Community Paediatric Review

A NATIONAL PUBLICATION FOR COMMUNITY CHILD HEALTH NURSES AND OTHER PROFESSIONALS

www.copas.net.au/ccch

VOL 10 NO 3 DECEMBER 2001

An initiative of the
Centre for Community
Child Health,
Royal Children's
Hospital, Melbourne

EXECUTIVE INDEX

Children's Rights 1

REFERENCES

The references for this article can be accessed through the "publications" section on the following website – www.copas.net.au/ccch

Children's Rights

Introduction

In Community Paediatric Review Volume 9 Number 1, I explored some of the Home Visiting Programs designated to prevent child abuse and to support 'high risk' families.

This edition discusses Children's Rights and some of the indicators that cause abuse, utilising an ecological framework that considers child factors, parent factors, family factors and environmental factors.

Indicators and Causes of Child Abuse

Understanding the causes of child abuse is a formidable task. Child abuse has no boundaries; it can be evidenced in all cultures, classes, religions and economic levels. We know there is no single cause of child maltreatment. Our understandings lead us to believe it is a multifaceted problem, with many variables influencing the type and degree of abuse experienced by children (Hendry & Lewis 1990). We know there are particular factors that place children at risk and predisposing traits that cause parents to abuse. We know abuse exists because of power and dominance factors within families, often influenced by gender roles and sustained by patriarchal notions condoned by judicial, legal and social institutions.

The aetiology of child abuse is complex. In the past, analysis of this phenomenon has been theoretically underpinned by psychology, sociology (Harris Cohn & Daro 1987) and now in the 1990s an ecological model is considered to be of value (Ammermann & Patz 1996).

Examining and analysing child abuse through an ecological approach implies:

That behaviour and development occur in relation to an 'ecological niche' or place defined by the political economy. Therefore, abuse takes place not only within the microenvironment of the family but also the macroenvironment of the society, whether it is country, state, community, or neighbourhood. It is a view of a family within a context, and child maltreatment is used as a social indicator.

(D'Antonio, Darwish & McLean 1993, pp. 39-40).

These micro and macro environments are briefly outlined below. Within an ecological perspective children are at risk because:

1. Bio-risks are incurred in the uterine environment;
2. Bio-psychic and sociopsychological risks are incurred in the domestic environment;
3. Socioeconomic risks are incurred in the social class environment of the family;
4. Global risks are incurred by families and communities.

(O'Neill 1995, p.10)

O'Neill (1995, pp. 10-11) states ... "this complex structure of risk will determine, to different degrees, the vulnerability of children born in families whose class and national comparative disadvantage will require 'risk reduction' can only be undertaken jointly by the kin/community and professional therapeutic agencies engaged

SUPPORTED BY AN EDUCATIONAL GRANT FROM

WYETH

HEALTH AND NUTRITION TEL 1800 55 2229



CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY CHILD HEALTH, ROYAL CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL, MELBOURNE



in the reduction of illness, ignorance, abuse, and unemployment”.

Common problems and indicators associated with child abuse are financial difficulties, unemployment, poor housing, substance abuse (drugs and alcohol), social isolation, prematurity or physical disability of the child, psychiatric history of the parent and many other contributing factors or causal links. All of these indicators impact on the micro or macro environment of the family. I note these and others below under four headings that are adopted from DePanfilis and Salus (1992):

- parent factors;
- child factors;
- family factors; and
- environmental factors.

Violence during childhood affects millions of children throughout the world. Generally, most people are outraged at horrific incidences of abuse and homicide of children, and yet most communities, states, and countries cannot manage to control or prevent the problem (McMahon & Pence 1995; Natapoff & Wiczorek 1990). O’Neill (1995 p.1) passionately states:

The entire passage of child life, from (pre) conception onward, is fraught with both mortal and moral risk and that these risks are extraordinarily high despite our proclaimed love for children. The cultural knot, then, is that we love our children and are appalled by their suffering, yet it is at our hands that they suffer without end. Of course we celebrate children’s growth, their happiness, health and intelligence. Yet, we render the lives of vast numbers of children deadly, diseased, ignorant and ravished by every kind of exploitation.

The Rights of Children

The following section looks at the rights and the exploitation of children as it is manifested in child abuse. Furthermore, this section provides an account of the different types of abuse as well as acknowledging the lack of rights, dignity and justice for children within our world. Before I enter this discussion let me quote some facts from the United States. They are compelling, and while they may not reflect current Australian statistics, similar statistics can be found throughout the world.

Every 2 hours a child is killed by his (her) caretakers in the United States. In a given year, more than 1 million children will be maltreated by their parents. Between 200,000 and over 2 million children per year will be the victims of sexual abuse, and over 300,000 per year are the victims of psychological abuse.

(Goodman 1990, p. 84-85)

Children are at risk of abuse for a variety of reasons. Essentially, children are not afforded equal rights and are not given the respect they deserve as young individuals within a community. These phenomena are recorded in our history, not as something we should be ashamed of, but as something which was considered tolerable in a society that did not value and respect the rights of children. One only needs to read traditional fairytales like Cinderella or Hansel and Gretel to find evidence of this ill respect. Traditionally, children have been treated as property. The right to punish and control children was deemed the duty and task of most parents (Baylis & Downie 1997). Even today the attitudes of some parents and schools in relation to discipline and punishment are a reflection of such ideas (Freeman 1997; Malkiewicz 1991; Cohn Donnelly 1991). Goddard and Carew (1993, p. 24) suggest a ‘close relationship’ should exist between dignity and rights, and children are not usually afforded the dignity they deserve. This ‘relationship’ between dignity, rights and respect for children cannot be precisely delineated, but it is one that deserves more attention from legislators and policy directors within governments (Freeman 1997).

Turner (1988, p.18) comments that ‘until children are recognised as individual people with rights to self-determination and equal rights to legal protection from all kinds of assault, they will continue to be dominated, degraded and abused, and frequently will feel powerless to complain or stop’. Nevertheless, many countries have adopted (in principle) the United Nations Bill of Rights for Children, which essentially establishes ‘minimum standards of parenting’ (Baylis & Downie 1997, p.173). Though one can applaud the philosophical conception of this Bill and the principles it implies its effectiveness, in terms of jurisdiction, is questionable (Freeman 1997). However, Freeman (1997, p. 21) adds ... “but we can and must believe that the state of childhood will be improved if we are prepared to take children’s rights more seriously, to transcend the rhetoric of international documents and domestic legislation and tease out the moral argument for

the recognition of children's rights". It seems that society in general is often shocked and horrified by the abuse of children and yet as a society we continue to sustain the problem by failing to respect children's' rights, their autonomy, their physical integrity and their privacy (Turner 1988).

It appears that parents (by law) are able to discipline their children using 'acceptable' disciplinary measures. There is no 'universal' definition for 'acceptable'. So what then constitutes 'acceptable' measures? Who decides what is acceptable? We know men and women have different

views about violence and punishment (Campbell 1992). Should health professionals be co-responsible for promoting non-violence within our communities? These are important questions and facts to reflect on, as we know children can be affected in adult life by experiences of childhood abuse and violence (Majonis 1991).

AUTHOR

Dr Jane Redden-Hoare

Community Paediatric Review Index

ARTICLE	AUTHOR	VOLUME	DATE
Irritable babies: How research findings can help	Dr Ian St James-Roberts	Vol 10 No 2	Apr-01
Reflux and irritability	Ms Brigid Jordan	Vol 10 No 2	Apr-01
Over the counter medicines	Dr Anita D'Aprano	Vol 10 No 1	Feb-01
The truth about teething	Dr Melissa Wake	Vol 10 No 1	Feb-01
Early postnatal discharge: What the mothers say	Ms Linette Lock	Vol 9 No 2	Oct-00
Woman's health in first 6 months after birth	Ms Caroline Homer & Ms Margaret Cooke	Vol 9 No 2	Oct-00
Home visiting programs for prevention of child abuse	Ms Jane Redden-Hoare	Vol 9 No 1	Jun-00
Sleep problems	Ms Pam Linke	Vol 8 No 4	Nov-99
Sleep problems in infants and toddlers	Dr George Williams	Vol 8 No 4	Nov-99
Aerosol therapy in asthma	Dr Colin Powell	Vol 8 No 3	Aug-99
Separation: the young child and his family	Assoc Prof Campbell Paul	Vol 8 No 3	Aug-99
Grandparents: reviewing their parenting skills	Ms Catherine Fowler	Vol 8 No 2	Jun-99
Negotiating differences in generational parenting	Ms Ann Harley	Vol 8 No 2	Jun-99
Common eye problems	Dr Susan Carden & Dr Deb Colville	Vol 8 No 1	Mar-99
Visual development in childhood	Dr James Elder	Vol 8 No 1	Mar-99

Editorial Note:

The Community Paediatric Review has changed and developed over the last eight years to meet the needs of community nurses. The Editorial Board has decided to try a different format in 2002. Each edition will have a theme, with materials presented to highlight the "health promoting nurse" concept and challenge and extend practice. There will be the opportunity to react to the materials electronically. This will be an exciting professional development opportunity. We look forward to your active involvement.

Please also take the time to complete the readership survey on the back of this edition.



12205

CPR Reader Survey

Please fax completed form to Centre for Community Child Health on 03 9347 2688 by May 2002

1. How regularly do you receive CPR?

- Quarterly
- Yearly
- Other (Please specify)

2. How do you receive your copy of CPR?

- Wyeth Rep
- Mail
- Other (Please specify)

3. How would you rate this publication on the following:

- | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | High | | | Low |
| a. usefulness of topics | <input type="radio"/> 1 | <input type="radio"/> 2 | <input type="radio"/> 3 | <input type="radio"/> 4 |
| b. clarity of information | <input type="radio"/> 1 | <input type="radio"/> 2 | <input type="radio"/> 3 | <input type="radio"/> 4 |

Comments:

4. Generally, when you receive your copy of the publication, do you?

- Read some of it each time
- Read all of it each time
- Use it as a resource as need arises
- None of the above

5. Would you like to receive parent fact sheets associated with each topic?

- Yes
- No

6. Do you have any suggestions for future topics?

Suggestion 1:

Suggestion 2:

Suggestion 3:

Suggestion 4:

Optional

Name

Address

State

Postcode

Thank you for completing this survey



Community Pædiatric Review



SUPPORTED BY AN EDUCATIONAL GRANT FROM
WYETH HEALTH AND NUTRITION
TEL 1800 55 2229



CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY CHILD HEALTH
ROYAL CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL,
MELBOURNE

Editors

Professor Frank Oberklaid
Sharon Foster
Michele Meehan
Dr Jane Redden-Hoare

Vicki Attenborough
Carolyn Briggs
Jenny Donovan
Libby Dawson

Production Editor

Helen Boyce